

Assessing the Socio-Cultural and Economic Implications of Museums Artifact/Heritage on Rural Transformation in Cross River State, Nigeria

¹Esekpa, Ofem Ibor, PhD, ²Akpanika Ekpemyong Nyong, PhD & ³Otu, Offiong Edet

¹Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Administration and Management Sciences, University of Calabar - Calabar. Email: ofem_ibor@yahoo.com

²Department of Religious and Cultural Studies, University of Calabar –Calabar, Nigeria
Email: ekpesakpanika@hotmail.com. en.akpanika@unical.edu.ng.

³ Department of Environmental Education (Tourism and Eco-management), University of Calabar- Calabar, Nigeria. Email: dailoff47@gmail.com.

ABSTRACT

This study examines the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State, Nigeria. It focuses on the role of museums in promoting community development, preserving cultural heritage, enhancing cultural awareness, and creating opportunities for socio-economic transformation in rural communities. The study adopted a descriptive survey research design and utilised both primary and secondary sources of data. The study population comprised 122 respondents, including museum staff and members of rural communities, particularly youths, women, and men. A 25-item structured questionnaire developed by the researcher was used for data collection, while the reliability of the instrument was established using Cronbach's alpha, which yielded a coefficient of 0.76. Research questions were posed and hypotheses were tested at the 0.05 level of significance. The findings indicate that climatic and topographical conditions, ecological challenges, poor leadership, inadequate access to credit facilities, and staff attitudes collectively affect the socio-cultural and economic contributions of museums, Artifacts, and heritage to rural transformation. The study concludes that museums can contribute to rural transformation through effective heritage preservation, community engagement, and innovative outreach programmes. It therefore recommends increased participation of rural residents and visitors in museum activities and greater government funding for museum development and rural transformation initiatives in Cross River State.

Keywords: Museum heritage, museum Artifacts, socio-cultural development, economic development, rural transformation, community development, Cross River State, Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, museums have increasingly been recognised as important institutions for promoting socio-cultural development, economic activities, and rural transformation. The Calabar Museum, in particular, has the potential to contribute to the development of communities, local governments, and cities within Cross River State and beyond. Communities can utilise museums as part of broader strategies for economic transformation, cultural preservation, tourism development, and human capital development. However, Cross River State continues to face challenges relating to inadequate expertise, limited resources, and insufficient financing, which constrain its capacity to maximise the economic and socio-cultural benefits associated with museum development. Addressing these challenges could enable museums to contribute more effectively to rural transformation through employment creation, income generation, cultural education, tourism, and community development.

Museums serve both socio-cultural and economic functions. From a socio-cultural perspective, they contribute to the preservation and transmission of cultural heritage, promote education

and cultural awareness, and strengthen community identity. From an economic perspective, museums can contribute to employment creation, income generation, tourism development, foreign exchange earnings, and the development of local enterprises. These contributions can improve the well-being of rural populations and support infrastructure and human-capacity development. In Cross River State, museums therefore have the potential to serve as instruments for rural transformation by connecting cultural heritage with tourism, education, entrepreneurship, and community development (Edem, 2015).

The history of the museum in Cross River State can be traced to the colonial period. The building that houses the Calabar Museum was originally a prefabricated colonial administrative structure constructed by the British in 1884 and erected in 1886 as the first Government House. It was subsequently converted into a museum and opened to the public as a National Museum on 17 August 1986. Since then, the museum has evolved from being primarily a repository for Artifacts to an institution with broader socio-cultural and economic responsibilities. Its activities increasingly involve engagement with host communities through cultural education, exhibitions, research, tourism, community outreach, and heritage preservation. Such activities can promote employment, social inclusion, innovation, education, and the safeguarding of local cultural heritage.

Museum Artifacts reflect the activities, creativity, beliefs, traditions, and technological development of human societies. Human interaction with the natural and social environment has produced various forms of cultural expression, including language, tools, household implements, buildings, weapons, clothing, artworks, and other Artifacts. These materials provide evidence of the historical experiences and cultural identities of communities and can serve as important resources for education, cultural tourism, and community development. Moore (2009) argues that museum Artifacts and heritage can contribute to grassroots development by supporting economic development, cultural tourism, and community identity. In this regard, traditional Artifacts and heritage resources can help revitalise rural spaces by transforming them into centres of cultural education, tourism, creativity, and entrepreneurship.

Despite these potential benefits, the socio-cultural and economic contributions of museums and heritage resources have not fully translated into significant improvements in the standard of living of many rural communities in Cross River State. This situation may be associated with inadequate institutional frameworks, limited funding, insufficient community participation, weak infrastructure, and inadequate understanding of the economic and socio-cultural potential of museum heritage. Consequently, there is a need to examine how museum Artifacts and heritage can be better integrated into rural transformation strategies.

Teddy (2011) identifies several museum and heritage-related programmes that can contribute to rural transformation. These include the preservation of monarchical heritage, tourism heritage, palace archives, exhibition collaborations, regalia preservation, symposia and lectures, royal museum projects, youth mentorship, and craft promotion. Such programmes provide opportunities for communities to preserve their cultural heritage while developing educational, tourism, and entrepreneurial activities. They can also facilitate intergenerational transmission of cultural knowledge and create opportunities for young people to participate in heritage-related enterprises.

Douglas (2003) observes that museums should not be regarded as socio-economic and cultural islands but as institutions that work closely with their host communities to promote community transformation. Through such collaboration, museums can contribute to employment creation, the development of local businesses, recreational activities, tourism, and the general social and psychological well-being of community members. Effective

collaboration between museums and their host communities can therefore strengthen the connection between cultural heritage preservation and local development.

Museums can also promote both tangible and intangible cultural exchanges by showcasing the rich cultural heritage of communities and ethnic groups in Cross River State. They support academic research, strengthen relationships among communities, facilitate cultural exchange, and contribute to capacity building. The educational units of museums can further support community development through outreach programmes to schools and other educational institutions. These programmes may provide practical knowledge and skills in activities such as basket making, dyeing, fashion design, bead making, pottery, and other forms of traditional craft production. Similarly, collaboration with artisans, including bronze and metal casters and textile producers, can provide opportunities for skills development, entrepreneurship, and income generation.

These activities demonstrate that museums can contribute to rural transformation beyond the preservation and display of Artifacts. By linking cultural heritage with education, tourism, entrepreneurship, skills development, and community participation, museums can help rural communities develop sustainable socio-economic opportunities. However, the extent to which these potentials are being realised in Cross River State remains an important empirical question. It is against this background that this study examines the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State, Nigeria.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The Calabar Museum in Cross River State appears to face several institutional and managerial challenges that may limit its contribution to socio-cultural development and rural transformation. These challenges include weaknesses in management capacity, inadequate government support, inconsistent policy implementation, and insufficient funding for museum development and cultural heritage preservation. The apparent underfunding of the socio-cultural sector has implications for the effective management of museums and the preservation, collection, documentation, and exhibition of Artifacts and heritage resources. Historical, scientific, and artistic Artifacts constitute important cultural resources that can support education, tourism, employment, revenue generation, and community development when effectively managed. However, concerns remain regarding the extent to which museums in Calabar are adequately managed and supported to fulfil these functions and promote heritage education among members of the public, particularly within rural communities.

The decline in the quality and effectiveness of museum services in Cross River State may also be associated with inadequate commitment among some museum personnel, poor work attitudes, insufficient funding, limited curriculum development and educational programming, shortages of appropriately trained museum professionals, and weaknesses in government policy frameworks. These challenges may undermine the capacity of museums to preserve and promote cultural heritage and to contribute meaningfully to rural transformation. Another concern is the potential loss, deterioration, or illegal removal of Artifacts and heritage resources of scientific, cultural, artistic, and historical significance. Such losses can weaken the capacity of museums to preserve the cultural identity of communities and provide educational and economic opportunities through heritage resources.

Previous studies have examined different dimensions of museums, Artifacts, heritage, community participation, and rural transformation. Oboh (2014, 1997, 2016) examined the role of museums in Nigeria, while Teddy (2011) focused on museum inclusiveness,

community relationships, and value-oriented factors. The American Association of Museums (2004) examined the role of museums and Artifacts in development, while Brymo (2009) and Gaius (2011) considered aspects of museum education and rural development. Other studies, including Otigba (2013), Adelakun (2013), and Sam (2014), examined rural transformation in Nigeria. Umeban (2006) investigated the socio-cultural and economic implications of rural transformation and Artifacts/heritage, while Edet (2024) examined museum education in Cross River State. The Cross River State Ministry of Rural Transformation (2016) also addressed issues relating to the prospects and challenges of museum values and rural development.

Although these studies provide useful insights into museums, heritage, education, and rural transformation, there remains a need for further empirical investigation into the specific socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State. This study therefore seeks to address this gap by examining the extent to which museum Artifacts and heritage contribute to rural transformation and by assessing the challenges that may influence this relationship.

PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The main purpose of this study is to examine the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State, Nigeria. Specifically, the study seeks to:

1. examine the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State; and
2. determine the extent to which museum-related challenges influence rural transformation in Cross River State.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The following research questions guide the study:

1. What are the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State?
2. What are the major challenges affecting the contribution of museums to rural transformation in Cross River State?

RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

Based on the objectives of the study, the following null hypotheses were formulated and tested:

H₀₁: The socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage do not significantly influence rural transformation in Cross River State.

H₀₂: Museum-related challenges do not significantly influence rural transformation in Cross River State.

CONCEPTUAL DEFINITIONS

The major concepts requiring clarification in relation to this study are museum, Artifact, heritage, and rural transformation.

Museum

Museums have increasingly assumed an important role in the development of rural communities. Beyond their traditional function of preserving historical materials, museums

perform economic, social, educational, and cultural functions through conservation, exhibition, research, communication, entertainment, and the preservation of material evidence of human activities and the environment (Falon, 2008). The American Association of Museums (AAM, 2004) describes a museum as a permanent, professionally organised, non-profit institution established primarily for educational or aesthetic purposes, with professional staff responsible for collecting, caring for, and exhibiting tangible objects for public access.

This conception indicates that museums serve as important institutions for knowledge development among individuals, communities, cities, and nations. Their activities encompass education, entertainment, exhibition, conservation, research, and public engagement. Through these functions, museums provide opportunities for individuals and communities to learn about Artifacts, cultural practices, historical experiences, and the development of societies. Museums can therefore contribute to socio-cultural development and rural transformation by connecting cultural resources with education, tourism, skills development, and community participation.

The International Council of Museums (ICOM, 2022) defines a museum as a permanent, not-for-profit institution that serves society by researching, collecting, conserving, interpreting, and exhibiting tangible and intangible heritage. Museums are intended to be open, accessible, and inclusive institutions that promote diversity and sustainability and operate ethically and professionally with the participation of communities. This definition places particular emphasis on public access, community participation, sustainability, research, and communication.

The ICOM perspective therefore extends the traditional understanding of museums beyond the preservation and display of objects. Museums are increasingly expected to contribute to social and cultural development through inclusive public engagement, research, education, communication, and community well-being. Their educational functions can also support active learning by providing access to unique three-dimensional Artifacts and other materials that serve as resources for teaching, research, and knowledge production.

Artifact

An Artifact refers to an object produced, modified, or used by human beings and preserved because of its cultural, historical, scientific, artistic, or social significance. Museum Artifacts may include tools, weapons, household objects, clothing, artworks, traditional implements, sculptures, religious objects, and other materials that provide evidence of the experiences and activities of past and present communities. In the context of this study, Artifacts are regarded as cultural resources that museums collect, preserve, interpret, and exhibit for educational, cultural, and developmental purposes.

Heritage

Heritage generally refers to the cultural, historical, natural, and social resources inherited from previous generations, valued by present generations, and preserved for transmission to future generations. Heritage connects the past with the present by preserving Artifacts, traditions, historical accounts, cultural practices, and other forms of collective memory. Heritage resources can therefore provide opportunities for education, cultural identity, tourism, community development, and rural transformation.

According to UNESCO (2022), heritage represents the legacy inherited from the past, experienced in the present, and transmitted to future generations. Heritage may be cultural,

encompassing both tangible and intangible elements; natural, including geological sites and natural features; or mixed, where cultural and natural values occur together.

Ivan (2016) observes that cultural heritage encompasses physical legacies, practices, traditions, and Artifacts transmitted from one generation to another and can contribute to rural development. Tangible heritage includes physical objects such as Artifacts, monuments, buildings, and other material resources, while intangible heritage encompasses practices such as rituals, oral traditions, folklore, language, music, and traditional knowledge.

In this study, heritage museums are therefore understood as institutions that collect, preserve, document, interpret, and display materials and narratives that represent the history, culture, traditions, and identity of particular communities, groups, or regions. Through these functions, museums can help communities preserve their cultural identity while creating opportunities for education, tourism, research, entrepreneurship, and socio-economic development.

ARTIFACT

An Artifact can be described as a human-made object of historical, cultural, scientific, or artistic significance. Examples include clothing, stone tools, jewellery, metal objects, vessels, weapons, pottery, textiles, and other objects that demonstrate human creativity, activities, and cultural practices. Personal adornments such as buttons and jewellery, as well as bones and other materials showing evidence of human modification, may also constitute Artifacts. Teddy (2011) defines a museum Artifact as a valuable object that provides evidence of a particular historical period, culture, important event, or expression of human creativity. Such objects range from ancient pottery to intricately produced textiles and other materials associated with the cultural life of communities.

UNESCO regards museum Artifacts as movable and tangible cultural heritage resources with technological, historical, cultural, and artistic significance. Such physical objects may be acquired, documented, preserved, researched, interpreted, and exhibited by permanent non-profit institutions serving society. Museum Artifacts therefore provide important materials for understanding human history and cultural development and can promote education and public appreciation of heritage.

In this context, museum Artifacts represent tangible expressions of socio-cultural heritage that require systematic preservation, interpretation, documentation, and exhibition. Museums provide opportunities for members of the public to engage with these collections through exhibitions, educational programmes, research, and cultural events. Consequently, the preservation and effective utilisation of Artifacts can contribute to cultural identity, historical awareness, education, tourism, and the broader socio-cultural and economic development of communities.

RURAL TRANSFORMATION

Rural transformation is widely regarded as a multidimensional process of socio-economic change that seeks to improve the conditions of people living in rural communities. In Nigeria, rural communities continue to face challenges associated with inadequate infrastructure, limited employment opportunities, poor social amenities, restricted access to economic opportunities, and migration to urban areas. Rural transformation therefore involves changing rural areas from predominantly isolated and agrarian communities into more dynamic regions characterised by improved employment opportunities, infrastructure, public services, living standards, and socio-economic participation.

Rural transformation can be defined as a comprehensive process through which rural societies diversify their economies beyond subsistence agriculture, strengthen local economic systems, improve infrastructure, and establish stronger socio-economic connections with towns and cities. It encompasses processes such as agricultural modernisation, economic diversification, improved transportation and communication networks, access to social services, and increased participation in economic and social activities. The broader objective is to reduce poverty and inequality, improve living standards, strengthen social inclusion, and create sustainable opportunities for rural populations.

Okpoko (2011) argues that rural transformation involves agricultural development, improved social amenities, and income diversification, thereby enabling rural populations, particularly small-scale farmers, youths, and women, to participate in economic growth rather than being compelled to migrate to towns and cities because of limited opportunities. Similarly, the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2020) views rural transformation as a process involving the modernisation and restructuring of rural economies through income diversification, improved infrastructure, agricultural development, and expansion of non-agricultural economic activities. These processes enable rural populations to participate more effectively in economic development.

Rural transformation therefore extends beyond aggregate economic growth indicators such as gross national product (GNP). It is a multidimensional process involving changes in institutions, social structures, attitudes, infrastructure, economic activities, and patterns of social interaction, alongside poverty and inequality reduction (Mbaghalu, 1992). In the context of Cross River State, rural transformation involves the effective utilisation of cultural, human, natural, and economic resources to improve the socio-cultural and economic well-being of rural populations.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The study is anchored on the Resource Mobilisation Theory, which provides a useful perspective for understanding how communities and institutions can identify, mobilise, and utilise available resources to promote socio-economic development. Rural transformation in Cross River State may be constrained by challenges such as corruption, mismanagement of resources, inadequate planning, and weaknesses in policy formulation and implementation. These challenges make it necessary to consider approaches that emphasise the identification and effective utilisation of available human, cultural, institutional, and material resources.

Ladele (2005) argues that rural transformation encompasses poverty reduction, the provision of basic amenities, and human empowerment, all of which contribute to present and future development. Similarly, Eselepa (2021) emphasises the importance of developing and utilising human resources and local potential to achieve rural transformation. These perspectives suggest that rural development depends not only on external interventions but also on the effective mobilisation and utilisation of resources available within rural communities.

According to Obanure (1996), Resource Mobilisation Theory is associated with the work of McCarthy and Zald (1977), who developed the theory within the sociology of collective action. The theory emphasises the importance of resources in enabling individuals and groups to organise, participate collectively, and pursue shared objectives. McCarthy and Zald (1977) argue that individuals and groups can identify resources available to them and mobilise those resources to achieve collective goals and improve their material and social well-being.

The application of Resource Mobilisation Theory to rural transformation suggests that communities can identify and mobilise their human, cultural, institutional, financial, and material resources to support development. Museums and heritage institutions constitute important resources within this framework because they preserve cultural Artifacts, provide educational opportunities, promote tourism, support research, and facilitate community engagement. When effectively managed, these resources can be mobilised to support employment creation, skills development, entrepreneurship, cultural tourism, and community development.

Ebon and Anomashav (2009) observe that development approaches associated with Japan placed considerable emphasis on land reform and rural development. The Japanese government also promoted small-scale development initiatives, including fish ponds, irrigation systems, rural markets, and microfinance programmes targeted at rural populations. These interventions demonstrate how the mobilisation of local and institutional resources can contribute to rural development.

In Nigeria, resource mobilisation for rural transformation has also emphasised the provision of basic infrastructure, including communication networks, energy, transportation, water, and other facilities that connect rural and urban areas and reduce regional disparities. Such infrastructure provides rural populations with opportunities to participate in socio-economic activities and access education, markets, employment, and other public services.

Applied to this study, Resource Mobilisation Theory provides a basis for understanding how museum Artifacts, heritage resources, human capital, institutional capacity, and community participation can be mobilised to support rural transformation. Museums can therefore serve not merely as repositories of Artifacts but also as institutions through which cultural, educational, tourism, and economic resources are identified, preserved, and utilised for community development. Rural transformation consequently requires deliberate planning based on the needs and available resources of rural populations and the effective coordination of these resources for sustainable development.

METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a descriptive survey research design. The study population comprised 122 museum staff, both male and female, working in selected museum centres in Cross River State. The study covered the National Museum Calabar, Old Residency Museum Calabar, Slave History Museum Calabar, and Akwanshi Museum, Ikom. Because the population was relatively small and manageable, the study adopted a census approach, whereby all 122 museum staff in the identified study population were included.

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire titled “Museum Socio-Cultural and Economic Implications and Rural Transformation Questionnaire.” The questionnaire was structured on a four-point Likert scale comprising Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree (SD). The instrument was subjected to face validation by experts in the Department of Test and Measurement, University of Calabar. Its reliability was established using Cronbach's alpha, which yielded a coefficient of 0.76, indicating an acceptable level of internal consistency for the study.

The questionnaire was administered personally by the researcher with the assistance of three research assistants. A total of 122 copies of the questionnaire were administered and retrieved from the respondents. The data collected were analysed using mean scores in accordance with the two research questions guiding the study. A criterion mean of 2.50 was adopted for

decision-making. Mean scores of 2.50 and above were interpreted as agreement, while mean scores below 2.50 were interpreted as disagreement.

RESULTS

The results of the study on the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation are presented in accordance with the research questions that guided the study.

CHALLENGES OF MUSEUMS AND RURAL TRANSFORMATION

Despite various socio-cultural and economic initiatives aimed at promoting museums and rural transformation in Nigeria, particularly in Cross River State, several challenges continue to constrain their effectiveness. Eselepa (2024) observes that rural transformation policies often face difficulties arising from unrealistic policy goals, rising input costs, inadequate funding, and structural development constraints. These constraints include poor road networks, inadequate educational institutions, limited access to potable water, unreliable electricity supply, and weak implementation of policy frameworks. Other factors include traditional farming practices, challenging topography, population density, unfavourable environmental conditions, and limited application of appropriate technologies. Collectively, these challenges can constrain rural development and reduce the capacity of museums and heritage institutions to contribute effectively to rural transformation.

The Cross River State Ministry of Rural Transformation (2025) identifies additional challenges, including inadequate accountability within government institutions, limited access to credit facilities, inter-agency conflicts, bureaucratic bottlenecks, inadequate collateral, high perceptions of labour and market risks, and mismanagement of public funds. These conditions may contribute to the abandonment or discontinuation of development programmes and limit the sustainability of rural transformation initiatives. Other challenges include excessive dependence on limited sources of economic activity, policy discontinuity, poor communication networks, food insecurity, rural–urban migration, water scarcity, weak leadership, and unemployment.

These challenges have implications for the capacity of museums to function as instruments of rural transformation. Inadequate infrastructure and funding can limit the preservation, documentation, exhibition, and promotion of cultural Artifacts, while weak institutional coordination and policy discontinuity can undermine long-term museum and heritage development. Addressing these challenges therefore requires stronger institutional accountability, sustainable funding, effective policy implementation, improved infrastructure, inter-agency coordination, access to finance, and greater community participation in museum and heritage development.

TABLE 1: CHALLENGES OF MUSEUM AND RURAL TRANSFORMATION

S/N	Challenges of Museum and Rural Transformation	Mean Score	Total Score	Remark
1	Museum artifact/heritage can be an instrument and a valuable source of creativity?	3.53	4.35	A
2	Does museum engages in rural education and community transformation?	2.85	3.26	A
3	Museum artifact/heritage can be used as a source of creativity and contribute to museum-related transformation.	2.82	3.61	A
4	Museums, artifacts, and heritage facilitate learning experiences.	2.86	3.85	A
5	Museums are an ideal place to enrich what is taught at school.	2.72	3.36	SA

6	Does museum artifacts/heritage open up ways for visitors and new ways of seeing, connecting, and experiencing rural transformation.	3.51	4.21	SA
7	Museum artifacts/heritage provide a great resource to spend time with friends, families, and friends in a positive way.	3.53	4.33	SA
8	Does schools rely heavily on museums and heritage to enhance their curriculum.	2.87	3.53	A
9	Rural communities/visitors who visit museums often develop an appreciation for culture, cultural heritage, and are motivated by museum artifacts/heritage for lifelong learning in arts.	3.73	4.59	SA
10	Museum artifacts/heritage develop a global perspective and appreciation of the diversity of cultures, human experiences, and ideas.	3.53	4.31	A

The responses of the respondents to Research Question One indicate a generally positive perception of the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State, Nigeria. The respondents strongly agreed with Items 1–4, 8, and 10, which recorded mean scores of 3.53, 2.55, 2.82, 2.86, 3.73, and 3.53, respectively. They also strongly agreed with Items 5–7 and 9, indicating that museum Artifacts and heritage have important socio-cultural and economic implications for rural transformation. The overall grand mean of 3.16, which is above the criterion mean of 2.50, further indicates a favourable response to the items measured. Based on these results, the study concludes that museum Artifacts and heritage have the potential to facilitate rural transformation in Cross River State, Nigeria, particularly through their socio-cultural and economic contributions.

QUESTION TWO

TABLE 2: Mean rating on the challenge of museum on rural transformation in Cross River State

11	Poor funding of museums and development.	3.31	4.25	A
12	Experienced interest of government in rural development and museums.	3.11	3.82	A
13	Poor quality of museum officers and rural transformation.	3.16	4.17	A
14	Looting of artifacts and other historical heritage, as well as cultural items from museum centres and rural communities.	2.99	3.68	A
15	Lack of commitment and bad attitudes amongst museum officers and rural people.	3.42	4.21	A
16	Poor maintenance and protection of artifacts and other cultural objects, arts, and historical monuments and rural areas.	3.14	3.93	A
17	Non-implementation of educational programmes in conjunction with museums and rural transformation.	3.40	3.91	A
18	Apathy to communities and museums.	2.22	3.96	A
19	Misconceived policy framework.	3.32	4.08	A
20	Religious fundamentalism.	3.32	4.09	A

Table 2 presents the respondents' responses to Research Question Two, which examined the challenges affecting museums and rural transformation in Cross River State. The respondents agreed with all the items presented in the table, indicating that the identified factors constitute significant challenges to the effective functioning of museums and their contribution to rural transformation. The findings suggest that inadequate funding, weak policy implementation, infrastructural deficiencies, human resource constraints, and other institutional and environmental factors may hinder the socio-cultural and economic contributions of museums to rural transformation in Cross River State.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The general objective of the study was to examine the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State, Nigeria. The study produced two major empirical findings, which are discussed in relation to previous scholarly perspectives.

Socio-cultural and Economic Implications of Museum Artifacts and Heritage

The first finding indicates that museum Artifacts and heritage have important socio-cultural and economic implications for rural transformation in Cross River State. The mean responses presented in the analysis demonstrate that respondents generally perceived museums, Artifacts, and heritage as resources capable of contributing to rural transformation. This finding is consistent with the views of Ladele (2005) and Falon (2008), who emphasise the value of museums as sources of creativity, learning, cultural enrichment, educational experiences, and appreciation of cultural diversity and human experiences. Similarly, UNESCO (2022) and Ivan (2016) highlight the socio-cultural and economic functions of museums and heritage, including preservation, education, documentation, exhibition, entertainment, community engagement, and the creation of opportunities for socio-economic development.

The finding further suggests that museums can contribute to rural transformation when their Artifacts and heritage resources are effectively preserved, interpreted, exhibited, and connected to community development activities. Through cultural education, tourism, research, exhibitions, and community outreach, museums can create opportunities for knowledge development, cultural identity, employment, and local economic activity.

Challenges of Museums and Rural Transformation

The second finding reveals that museums face several challenges that may constrain their contribution to rural transformation in Cross River State. The respondents' responses indicate that inadequate funding, insufficiently trained personnel, weak commitment among some staff, ineffective government policy implementation, inadequate maintenance, poor management of Artifacts and heritage sites, and the loss or looting of Artifacts constitute major challenges.

This finding is consistent with the observations of Okpoko (2011) and Mbaghalu (1992), who identified inadequate funding, weaknesses in educational and institutional capacity, inadequate commitment, ineffective policy frameworks, and infrastructural deficiencies as challenges to rural development. Similarly, Obi (2007) identifies environmental conditions, topography, and climatic factors as additional constraints affecting rural development. Other challenges include inadequate improvement of educational programmes, limited training and retraining opportunities for museum personnel, and inadequate facilities and working environments.

The findings therefore demonstrate that the potential contribution of museums to rural transformation cannot be separated from the institutional, financial, infrastructural, environmental, and human resource conditions within which museums operate.

IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

The findings have several implications for museum management and rural transformation in Cross River State. First, the socio-cultural and economic contributions of museum Artifacts

and heritage are closely associated with the effectiveness of government policies, institutional management, and funding. Weak policy implementation and inadequate financial support can limit the ability of museums to preserve Artifacts, maintain facilities, organise exhibitions, conduct research, and implement community outreach programmes.

Moore (2009) and Teddy (2011) identify inadequate qualified personnel, limited commitment, poor maintenance, and weaknesses in educational staffing and programming as challenges that can affect museum effectiveness. These challenges have implications for the ability of museums to serve as centres of cultural education, tourism, research, and community development.

The findings also have implications for rural communities. Edet (2024) notes that socio-economic and cultural disparities can affect development outcomes across communities. Rural populations may continue to experience poverty and limited development opportunities because of inadequate infrastructure, weak government support, and limited access to productive resources. Otigba (2013) similarly observes that many communities, particularly those in coastal areas, remain economically vulnerable because of their dependence on traditional agricultural activities and seasonal fluctuations.

Sam (2014) and Mbaghalu (2006) further emphasise the relationship between socio-economic conditions and rural development. Rural communities may face interconnected challenges, including poverty, unemployment, environmental degradation, drought, flooding, conflict, food insecurity, and inadequate infrastructure. These challenges can reduce the capacity of communities to benefit from available cultural and economic resources.

The implication is that museum development should be integrated into broader rural transformation and community development policies. Strengthening museum institutions through adequate funding, improved infrastructure, professional development, effective governance, heritage preservation, and community participation can increase their capacity to contribute to rural socio-economic development. A coordinated policy framework is therefore required to connect museum heritage resources with tourism, education, entrepreneurship, employment creation, and other rural development initiatives.

CONCLUSION

The study examined the socio-cultural and economic implications of museum Artifacts and heritage for rural transformation in Cross River State, Nigeria. The study was guided by two research questions and corresponding hypotheses concerning the relationship between museum Artifacts and heritage, museum-related challenges, and rural transformation.

The findings indicate that museum Artifacts and heritage have important socio-cultural and economic implications for rural transformation. Museums can support cultural preservation, education, tourism, research, community engagement, employment creation, and local economic activities. However, the findings also indicate that museums face several challenges, including inadequate funding, weak policy implementation, insufficient infrastructure, human resource limitations, poor maintenance, environmental constraints, and the potential loss or looting of cultural Artifacts. These challenges can limit the capacity of museums to contribute effectively to rural transformation.

The study adopted a descriptive survey research design because it enabled the researcher to obtain information from the identified study population and assess respondents' perceptions of the variables under investigation. The study involved 122 respondents drawn from museum

staff and rural community members within the study area. The data collection instrument was a structured questionnaire developed by the researcher and titled “The Effect of Museum Socio-Cultural and Economic Implications on Rural Transformation in Cross River State.” The reliability of the instrument was established using Cronbach's alpha, which produced a coefficient of 0.76, indicating acceptable internal consistency.

The overall conclusion is that museums and their Artifacts and heritage resources constitute important socio-cultural and economic assets that can contribute to rural transformation in Cross River State. However, the realisation of these benefits requires adequate institutional support, sustainable funding, effective policy implementation, professional museum management, infrastructure development, heritage preservation, and active participation of rural communities.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are made:

1. **Promote museum visitation and heritage education:** Communities, schools, and students should be encouraged to visit museums and historical sites regularly, preferably at least once during each academic session, to strengthen cultural awareness and heritage education.
2. **Strengthen government–community collaboration:** Rural transformation initiatives should involve both government institutions and rural communities to ensure that development programmes reflect local needs, resources, and priorities.
3. **Improve public awareness:** Print, electronic, and digital media should be utilised to sensitise the public to the educational, cultural, tourism, and economic importance of museums and their potential contribution to rural transformation.
4. **Strengthen human resource capacity:** Government and museum authorities should provide adequate professional staff and support regular training, workshops, seminars, conferences, and other capacity-development programmes for museum personnel.
5. **Promote community leadership participation:** Community leaders and other relevant stakeholders should be encouraged to participate in seminars, workshops, conferences, and heritage-development programmes to improve their understanding of the role of museums in rural transformation.
6. **Develop sustainable partnerships and funding mechanisms:** Museums should establish stronger partnerships with government agencies, private organisations, community groups, educational institutions, development organisations, and other relevant stakeholders to mobilise resources for museum development, heritage preservation, and rural transformation programmes.
7. **Strengthen heritage protection:** Appropriate measures should be implemented to prevent the theft, deterioration, and illegal removal of museum Artifacts and heritage resources. This should include improved security, documentation, conservation, and community-based heritage protection mechanisms.

REFERENCES

- AAM (2004), American Association of Museum Official Report. Arizona: AAM Press.
- Adelaleum, J. B. (2013), Rural-Urban Development Dichotomy: A Debate. Text presented on the flag-off Osun Rural Awareness Campaign organized by the State of Osun Local Service Commission, February 5–8.
- Benner, W. A. (2009), Museum and Cultural Culture in the Era of Technological Revolution. Masma: Dototant Publication.

- Esekpá, Ofem Ibor, Akpanika Ekpemyong Nyong & Otu, Offiong Edet, *IJPMSR*, (2026), 2(4):16-29
- Brymo, A. N. (2009), *Historical Perspectives of Museum*. Wanasá Region: Axiac Publication, pp. 44.
- Cornelius, O. O. (2021), *Of the Museum and Her Audience: The Imperative of Audience Centred Approach to Museum Growth*, pp. 10–17, *Akwanshi Journal of Arts, Culture, Tourism and Museum Studies*, Vol. 3(3) 2021.
- Douglas, S. C. (2008), *Museums and American Intellectual Life*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, pp. 65.
- Edem, D. (2015), *The Role of National Museum in Community Development*. Address to National Commission for Museums and Monuments.
- Esekpá, O. I. (2021), *External Funded Project and Rural Transformation in Cross River State*. A Doctoral Dissertation carried out in the Department of Public Administration, University of Calabar, Calabar.
- Esekpá, O. I. (2021), *Museums Socio-Cultural Values and Its Economic Sustainability in Nigeria*, pp. 91–97.
- ICOM (2016), *Museum Definition*. Retrieved October 25, 2020 from <http://icom.museum/the-vision/museum-definition>.
- Iyan, E. O. (2016), *Museum Education in Nigeria: Prospects and Challenges*. IGWEBUIKE: An African Journal of Arts and Humanities, vol. 2, December 2016.
- Ministry of Rural Transformation, Establishment and Training (2016), *Annual Report*, Calabar, Cross River State.
- Moore, S. N. (2009), *History of the Canada Science and Technology Museum*. Toronto: Banasin Publishers, pp. 42–45.
- Muoghalu, L. N. (1992), *Rural Development in Nigeria: A Review of Previous Initiatives*. In: Olisa, M. S. O. & Olisaeku, J. I. *Rural Development in Nigeria, Dynamics and Strategies*, Awka: MEKS-LINK Publishers Nigeria.
- National Museum Calabar (2020), *Monthly Visitor Statistics (Oct. 2019 – March, 2020)*. Retrieved from Administration File of the National Museum Old Calabar, 2020 – October 28, 2021.
- Okpoko, A. I. (2011), *Fundamentals of Museum Practice*. University of Nigeria Press Ltd. University of Nigeria, Nsukka, pp. 11–14.
- Sam, I. O. (2014), *Achieving Sustainable Poverty Reduction and Rural Development in Nigeria Through Local Economic Development Strategies*. *American Journal of Rural Development*, 2(1), 13–19.
- Teddy, R. O. (2011), *Foundation of Museums and Tourism Development in 21st Century*. Bhordi Satucal Publishers.
- Umebali, E. E. (2006), *Rural Water and Sanitation: Time for Change*. World Bank Discussion Paper, Vol. 2(1) 22-34.
- Wiggin, S. and Proctor, S. (2001), *How Special are Rural Areas? Economic Implications of Location for Rural Development*. In: *Development Policy Review*, 19(4), pp. 427–438.